

WHAT'S UNDER THE HOOD? ROOT CAUSE AND PATCH ANALYSES OF ELEVATION OF PRIVILEGE VULNERABILITIES IN THE WINDOWS OPERATING SYSTEM

Background

The Windows operating system is the most widely used in the world. However, its popularity and widespread use makes it a prime target for **malicious threat actors** seeking to **exploit vulnerabilities**. Studies have found that the Windows operating system is highly vulnerable to **CWE-269: improper privilege management**.

Aims of Project

1. To **replicate** each vulnerability on a virtual machine.
2. To understand the **root cause** of each vulnerability.
3. To identify the **patch** released by various vendors.
4. To determine whether each patch is **sufficient** in preventing future replications of the vulnerability.

Hypothesis

Current security patches of elevation of privilege vulnerabilities are typically designed to address a **specific method** of replication and exploitation. An attacker can use a **slightly altered method** of replication and exploitation based on a **similar concept**, which can **bypass the patch**.

CVE-2020-1170 (Windows Defender MpCmdRun.exe) CVSS Score: 7.8	CVE-2021-21551 (Dell dbutil_2_3.sys Driver) CVSS Score: 8.8	CVE-2023-21768 (Windowsafd.sys Driver) CVSS Score: 7.8
Root cause: MpCmdRun.exe did not check if MpCmdRun.log.bak had a reparse point .	Root cause: Write-what-where condition - unprivileged users can use the driver to write to kernel memory .	Root cause: Write-what-where condition - unprivileged users can use the driver to write to kernel memory .
<p>In a vulnerable virtual machine:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Create the folder C:\ZZ_SANDBOX\target 2. Create the junction C:\Windows\Temp\MpCmdRun.log.bak and set it as a mountpoint to C:\ZZ_SANDBOX 3. Fill MpCmdRun.log with 16MB of data 4. Open ProcMon to keep track of system activity 5. Perform a signature update 	<p>Arbitrary Write Primitive</p> <p>Perform static analysis in IDA: Find the file name for CreateFileA() to obtain a handle to the driver</p>	<p>Perform static analysis in Ghidra: Find the difference between the vulnerable and patched version</p>
<p>Perform static analysis in Ghidra, find the path to file deletion</p>	<pre>memmove(SourceString, L"\\Device\\DBUtil_2_3", 0x26u164);</pre> <p>Find the IOCTL code to access the function containing memmove(), and size of buffer. Use these as parameters for DeviceIoControl() to interact with the driver</p>	<p>Vulnerable</p> <pre>if (checkforuser == '\0') { *(uint **) (address + 0x18) = writtensvalue; } else { /* probeforwrite */ *(uint **) (address + 0x18) = writtensvalue; }</pre> <p>Patched</p> <pre>if (checkforuser == '\0') { *(uint **) (address + 0x18) = writtensvalue; } else { ProbeForWrite(*(undefined *) (address + 0x18), 4, *(uint **) (address + 0x18) = writtensvalue; }</pre>
<p>Replicate vulnerability in patched virtual machine, open ProcMon to keep track of system activity</p>	<p>1. Write C code to hit the breakpoint</p> <p>2. Attach the VM to WinDbg and create a breakpoint</p> <p>3. Run the code in the VM</p>	<p>Find prerequisites for buffer such as buffer size and configured IoCompletion Object in Ghidra</p>
<p>Find differences between vulnerable and patched MpCmdRun.exe (addition of FSCTL_DELETE_REPARSE_POINT)</p>	<p>By stepping through functions, value of memmove() destination becomes 0. Edit code to use KUSER_SHARED_DATA + 0x800 as destination since it is a fixed kernel address that is writable</p>	<p>Find IOCTL code for AfdNotifySock() in IDA</p>
<p>In a patched virtual machine:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Create the folder C:\ZZ_SANDBOX\target 2. Create the junction C:\Windows\Temp\MpCmdRun.log.bak\junction and set it as a mountpoint to C:\ZZ_SANDBOX 3. Fill MpCmdRun.log with 16MB of data 4. Open ProcMon to keep track of system activity 5. Perform a signature update 	<p>By displaying the bytes of destination and source, our buffer has been written to the kernel</p>	<p>Write a POC code, attach the VM to WinDbg and run the POC code</p>
<p>On File Explorer, C:\ZZ_SANDBOX\target is deleted. On ProcMon, reparse point is not deleted. By using a different method in the patched VM, the vulnerability can still be replicated.</p>	<p>Arbitrary Read Primitive</p> <p>Find another IOCTL code to access the function containing memmove(). Use this as a parameter for DeviceIoControl() to interact with the driver</p>	<p>The POC code attempts to write to the address supplied and results in a blue screen</p>
<p>Local Disk (C:) > ZZ_SANDBOX</p> <p>This folder is empty.</p>	<p>By stepping through functions, value stored at KUSER_SHARED_DATA can be read by user</p>	<p>KUSER_SHARED_DATA is read-only in Windows 11. When the kernel attempts to write to the address supplied, it results in a blue screen of death</p>
<p>Patch analysis: As a similar method was used to replicate the vulnerability on the patched VM, the patch was insufficient.</p>	<p>1. Write C code to hit the breakpoint</p> <p>2. Attach the VM to WinDbg and create a breakpoint</p> <p>3. Run the code in the VM</p>	<p>Before crashing, KUSER_SHARED_DATA's address was in RCX register and "1" was written to RAX register</p>
<p>Patch analysis: The access control list was elevated. However, as system administrators can still replicate the vulnerability, the patch was insufficient.</p>	<p>Patch analysis: The access control list was elevated. However, as system administrators can still replicate the vulnerability, the patch was insufficient.</p>	<p>Patch analysis: ProbeForWrite() function call was sufficient as it ensures that the user mode buffer resides in user mode portion of address space and not kernel mode.</p>

Software developers can leverage this information to **enhance their patch monitoring practices**. They could consider incorporating a **dedicated monitoring period** to promptly **detect and respond** to any exploitation following the implementation of patches. Additionally, this knowledge can be used to **create robust patches** that offer **broader protection** against a range of potential vulnerabilities. The improvements in software security and privilege management practices can lead to **safer systems** for end users.

Members:
 Low Li Ying Amy, Raffles Girls' School
 Pak Tze Bin Bryan, Raffles Institution
 Mentor:
 Yap Ni, DSO National Laboratories